

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,859	04/24/2001	Adam G. Malofsky	7962801/502	5663
75	90 06/24/2003			
FROST BROWN TODD LLC			EXAMINER	
2200 PNC Center 201 East Fifth Street			ZIRKER, DANIEL R	
Cincinnati, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	v	_
ess—		
IG DATE		
MONTHS		
d timely. n. 3). ed patent		
· ·		
ed in		
tion.		
deration.		
election		
-		
	- 1	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments		•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sh	neet beneath the correspondence address—
P riod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	s, a reply within the statut efault, expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the appli	tory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. cation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on4	130/03	· .
☐ This action is FINAL.	,	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,		
Disposition of Claims		
1-67		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
D-Claim(s) 1-67	is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		
Application Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		• •
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	bjected to by the Exa	miner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.	•
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. §	119 (a)(d).
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be		
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International 	•	
*Certified copies not received:		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
ttachment(s)		
	r No(s)	☐ Int rvi w Summary PTO_412
☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Pape	r No(s)	☐ Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413
Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Pape Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO	·	 Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15 Oth r

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. _

Serial No. 09/840,859
Art Unit 1771

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. More particularly, the Examiner's rejection of the earlier recitation in claim 1 regarding the use of (a) . . . (d) and in claim 60 the use of (c) . . . (d) is repeated as being unduly confusing since the discussions set forth in the original after final response filed March 14, 2003 at page 6, first full paragraph leaves the claims as vague, indefinite and confusing for reasons already of record. Of a more serious nature the Examiner notes that in claim 1, lines 3 and 7 the recitation of the layers being "on" one another clearly does not mean the same as "onto" found in line 4, and consequently whether or not a layer is immediately adjacen. another layer or whether it is not is not readily apparent from a reading of the claim. In a similar vein, the recitation of "on" in claim 60, line 3 is again not believed to define the same structural relationship as "against the inward side" in line 4 of the claim. Additionally, other informalities which may be even less readily apparent may well also exist in each of the independent claims. As a result, the Examiner respectfully submits that applicants' independent claims 1 and 60 are both

Serial No. 09/840,859

Art Unit 1771

vague, indefinite and confusing with respect to the physical structure of the various layers set forth, despite the fact that the defined genuses of physical structures are believed to each be relatively simple in nature.

Claims 1-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as 3. anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Brooks, substantially for the reasons set forth in paragraph No. 3 of Paper No. 12, together with the following additional observations. Applicants have strenuously argued in their supplemental response after final rejection filed concurrently with the RCE application that in essence their claimed invention relates to embodiments which do not substantially or completely cover the upper surface of the susceptor with adhesive. However, it is noted that column 3, lines 31-37, particularly lines 35-37 further disclose that the adhesive may be provided in almost any pattern, either, e.g., as a continuous layer or "as one or more longitudinally extending ribbons or beads or as individual beads or in a pattern or the like". As such, the reference coated adhesive layer structures are believed to either expressly or inherently read upon / applicants' claimed performance parameters, or, alternatively be at most an obvious optimization to one of ordinary skill, in the absence of unexpected results not heretofore shown on the record. Serial No. 09/840,859

Art Unit 1771

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dzirker:cdc

June 5, 2003

DANIEL ZIRKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300

Daniel Zuku